

## Mean Amplitudes of Vibration of $\text{ClF}_2\text{O}^+$ and $\text{BrF}_2\text{O}^+$

E. J. Baran

Centro de Química Inorgánica (CEQUINOR/CONICET, UNLP), Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, C. Correo 962, 1900-La Plata, Argentina

Reprint requests to Prof. E. J. B.: E-mail: baran@quimica.unlp.edu.ar

Z. Naturforsch. **57a**, 837–838 received July 22, (2002);

Mean amplitudes of vibration of the two title cations have been calculated from vibrational spectroscopic data in the temperature range between 0 and 1000 K. Their bond characteristics are discussed on the basis of the obtained results and by comparison with known data for some related species.

**Keywords:**  $\text{ClF}_2\text{O}^+$ ;  $\text{BrF}_2\text{O}^+$ ; Mean Amplitudes of Vibration; Bond Properties.

Chlorine trifluoride oxide,  $\text{ClF}_3\text{O}$ , and the corresponding bromine species,  $\text{BrF}_3\text{O}$ , form adducts with different Lewis acids such as  $\text{BF}_3$ ,  $\text{AsF}_5$  or  $\text{SbF}_5$ , generating species containing the  $\text{ClF}_2\text{O}^+$  or  $\text{BrF}_2\text{O}^+$  cations [1, 2]. Recently, we have calculated the mean amplitudes of vibration and discussed the bond properties of the two mentioned oxides [3] and, in order to complement this study we have now made a similar investigation for the derived cations.

The structure of these species can be formally derived from an  $\text{sp}^3$  hybridization of the central halogen atom, generating a pyramidal geometry of  $C_s$  symmetry.

Christe et al. investigated the vibrational spectrum of  $\text{ClF}_2\text{O}^+$  [4], whereas that of  $\text{BrF}_2\text{O}^+$  was reported by Bougon et al. [2]. Both studies confirmed the pyramidal  $C_s$  geometry, and the vibrational data of these authors were used in our calculations.

The mean amplitudes of vibration were calculated by the method of the characteristic vibrations of Müller et al. [5] (cf. also [6, 7]). For  $\text{ClF}_2\text{O}^+$ , the following geometrical parameters were used:  $d(\text{Cl}-\text{O}) = 1.41 \text{ \AA}$ ;  $d(\text{Cl}-\text{F}) = 1.62 \text{ \AA}$ ;  $\angle \text{F}-\text{Cl}-\text{F} = 93^\circ$  and  $\angle \text{O}-\text{Cl}-\text{F} = 108^\circ$  [4], whereas for  $\text{BrF}_2\text{O}^+$  they were estimated as follows:  $d(\text{Br}-\text{O}) = 1.57 \text{ \AA}$ ;  $d(\text{Br}-\text{F}) = 1.75 \text{ \AA}$ ;  $\angle \text{F}-\text{Br}-\text{F} = 93^\circ$  and  $\angle \text{O}-\text{Br}-\text{F} = 106^\circ$ .

Table 1. Calculated mean amplitudes of vibration (in  $\text{\AA}$ ) of  $\text{ClF}_2\text{O}^+$ .

$T(\text{K})$	$u_{\text{Cl}-\text{F}}$	$u_{\text{Cl}-\text{O}}$	$u_{\text{F}\cdots\text{F}}$	$u_{\text{F}\cdots\text{O}}$
0	0.0436	0.0340	0.062	0.045
100	0.0436	0.0340	0.062	0.045
200	0.0438	0.0340	0.066	0.047
298.16	0.0450	0.0341	0.071	0.049
300	0.0400	0.0341	0.071	0.049
400	0.0471	0.0344	0.078	0.053
500	0.0496	0.0349	0.085	0.057
600	0.0523	0.0356	0.091	0.061
700	0.0551	0.0365	0.097	0.064
800	0.0579	0.0375	0.103	0.068
900	0.0607	0.0386	0.109	0.072
1000	0.0634	0.0397	0.114	0.075

Table 2. Calculated mean amplitudes of vibration (in  $\text{\AA}$ ) of  $\text{BrF}_2\text{O}^+$ .

$T(\text{K})$	$u_{\text{Br}-\text{F}}$	$u_{\text{Br}-\text{O}}$	$u_{\text{F}\cdots\text{F}}$	$u_{\text{F}\cdots\text{O}}$
0	0.0413	0.0347	0.068	0.054
100	0.0413	0.0347	0.068	0.055
200	0.0417	0.0347	0.074	0.058
298.16	0.0432	0.0349	0.083	0.063
300	0.0433	0.0349	0.083	0.063
400	0.0456	0.0355	0.092	0.069
500	0.0484	0.0364	0.100	0.075
600	0.0514	0.0376	0.109	0.080
700	0.0544	0.0389	0.117	0.086
800	0.0573	0.0404	0.124	0.091
900	0.0601	0.0419	0.131	0.096
1000	0.0629	0.0434	0.138	0.101

The results of the calculations, in the temperature range between 0 and 1000 K, are shown in Tables 1 and 2.

As it can be seen, the X–O bonds are particularly strong, as reflected by their relatively low mean amplitudes of vibration and weak temperature dependencies. A comparison with the values calculated previously for the related  $\text{F}_3\text{XO}$  oxides [3] shows a reinforcement of this bond in the present cases, in agreement with the presence of the positive charge. In the case of  $\text{ClF}_2\text{O}^+$ , for which force constants were calculated [4], these calculations show the same trend, i.e.  $f(\text{Cl}-\text{O}) = 11.21 \text{ mdyn/\AA}$  for  $\text{ClF}_2\text{O}^+$  and  $f(\text{Cl}-\text{O}) = 9.37 \text{ mdyn/\AA}$  for  $\text{F}_3\text{ClO}$ .

The mean amplitudes of the X–F bonds are comparable, but slightly lower than those determined for the equatorial X–F bonds in the related

$\text{F}_3\text{XO}$  oxides [3], also pointing to a reinforcement of this bond on going from the molecule to the cation.

The X–O and X–F mean amplitude values for both  $\text{XF}_2\text{O}^+$  cations are also comparable to those calculated for the isoelectronic  $\text{SF}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{SeF}_2\text{O}$  molecules [8]. These strong analogies, also remarked from the overall spectroscopic behaviour [4], suggest similar structure and bonding properties of these molecules and the two investigated cations. This fact indicates that an essentially covalent bond model using  $\text{sp}^3$  hybridized halogen atoms can describe the bonding in these  $\text{XF}_2\text{O}^+$  species. Bond models involving semiionic three-center, four electron p-p  $\sigma$  bonds, as suggested for the axial F–X–F bonds in the  $\text{F}_3\text{XO}$  oxides [3], are not possible owing to the absence of such linear F–X–F groups in these related cations. On the other hand, and in agreement with these different

bonding characteristics, the mean amplitude values for these axial bonds are remarkably higher [3] than those found in the present cases.

Finally, it may be commented that the amplitude values of the non bonded  $\text{F} \cdots \text{O}$  pairs are comparable to those calculated in the case of the  $\text{F}_3\text{XO}$  oxides, whereas those of the  $\text{F} \cdots \text{F}$  pairs are also similar, but somewhat higher than those determined for the  $\text{F}(\text{ax}) \cdots \text{F}(\text{eq})$  interactions in the same oxides [3].

#### Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the “Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas Técnicas de la República Argentina”, the author being a member of the Research Career of this organism

- [1] K. O. Christe, C. J. Schack, and D. Pilipovich, *Inorg. Chem.* **11**, 2205 (1972).
- [2] R. Bougon, T. Bui Huy, P. Charpin, R. J. Gillespie, and P. H. Spekkens, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* **1979**, 6.
- [3] E. J. Baran, *Z. Naturforsch.* **57a**, 205 (2002).
- [4] K. O. Christe, E. C. Curtis, and C. J. Schack, *Inorg. Chem.* **11**, 2212 (1972).
- [5] A. Müller, C. J. Peacock, H. Schulze, and U. Heidborn, *J. Mol. Struct.* **3**, 252 (1969).
- [6] A. Müller, E. J. Baran, and K. H. Schmidt, *Characteristic Mean Amplitudes of Vibration*; in S. J. Cyvin (Ed.), “Molecular Structures and Vibrations”, Elsevier, Amsterdam 1972.
- [7] E. J. Baran, *An. Asoc. Quim. Argent.* **61**, 141 (1973).
- [8] A. Müller, C. J. Peacock, and U. Heidborn, *Z. Naturforsch.* **23a**, 1687 (1968).